HEALTH & WELFARE

WELFARE: CULLING





The aim of this guide is to outline the welfare implications to be considered when culling deer

Introduction

The definition of welfare in relation to deer is 'concern for their physical and psychological wellbeing'.* The shooting of deer is not a welfare issue in itself if Best Practice is followed, however, welfare implications can arise as a result of orphaning dependent juveniles or from excessive culling pressure. The higher the risk that culling will be detrimental to welfare, the greater the need to involve a third party in the decision-making process. Where applicable, DCS provides an independent judgement of the balance between the need to cull deer for different reasons and welfare implications through the Authorisation process.**

Effects of culling

Physical well-being

The most significant impacts associated with culling activity relate to physical well-being, and the tables below summarise how these vary with breeding activity, body condition, and dependency.

You may be able to implement measures that will reduce the negative impact. Some examples are highlighted in the table below.



The information is coded as follows:

Impact on physical well-being

High: no satisfactory measure to alleviate.

Medium: can be alleviated by preventative measures.

Low: low or no negative impact.

Juveniles

As juveniles have no dependants, any welfare impacts associated with culling them will relate to the psychological and physiological impact on the mother.

Males

There may be an impact on the physical welfare of non-target males where there is excessive disturbance resulting from culling others (see table below).

Can Impact	Scenario	Negative impact of culling	Preventative-measure
	Rutting: males feed less and may lose considerable body condition during the rut	None	N/A
	Open-hill, post-rut: fat reserves reduced	Feeding time of non-target males may be reduced if subject to significant disturbance, with result that they are slower to regain condition	Avoid excessive disturbance of non-target males
	Body fat regained	None	N/A



Females

The culling of females can impact on the welfare of dependent young, orphaned as a result.

Impact	Scenario	Negative impact	Preventative measure
	Pregnant or non-pregnant female. No juvenile likely or actually at foot	None	N/A
H	Juvenile too young to follow. Left laying-up while mother grazes	Starvation of orphaned juvenile	Do not cull mature females. If a lactating female is culled in error, strenuous efforts must be made to locate and cull juvenile
H	Juvenile outside fenced enclosure too young to jump fence, mother grazing within	Starvation of orphaned juvenile	Do not cull mature females. If a lactating female is culled in error, strenuous efforts must be made to locate and cull juvenile
	Juvenile able to follow mother in all situations, dependent on milk for survival	Starvation of orphaned juvenile	If able to associate juvenile with lactating female, shoot juvenile first. If a lactating female is culled in error, strenuous efforts must be made to locate and cull juvenile
M	Juvenile able to follow mother in all situations, still suckling but not dependent on milk	Likelihood of juvenile survival significantly lowered	Where possible target calves first and maintain vigilance for orphaned calves. Orphaned calves should be culled
	Juvenile no longer suckling, but dependent on mother for status in herd and access to preferred grazing	Likelihood of juvenile survival lowered	Shoot both female and juvenile where- ever possible

Well-being

In response to the sight, sound or smell of humans, deer may temporarily cease other activities such as feeding, become vigilant for a period, or may move away. The level of impact on physical or mental well-being will vary in accordance with the type, level of disturbance and frequency of exposure.

Mental well-being is not fully understood. However, as deer have evolved as prey species it is generally accepted that they have evolved to cope with the stresses associated with predation.

Practical welfare assessment

During culling activities stalkers should prevent welfare issues arising to non target deer through:

- ♦ Injury;
- ♦ Exhaustion;
- Separation of dependent young.

The judgement on the welfare implications lies with the stalker and is based on the intensity and frequency of disturbance, the length and duration of movement and the condition and behaviour of the deer as well as risks associated with the environment in which the culling is being carried out.

The intensity and frequency of disturbance should take into account the cumulative effects of culling throughout the year as well as seasonal changes in body condition of the deer. Additional disturbance through public recreation and access should also be taken into account.

The length and duration of movement should take into account what would be considered as the deer's natural range, normal movement within that area and the duration of disturbance.

The physical condition and behaviour of the deer will indicate to the stalker the likely impact of culling operations. Characteristics associated with welfare concerns would be extreme signs of exhaustion, intense heavy breathing, tongue hanging out, particularly laboured movement, or collapse.***

Separation of dependent young from their mother can be a welfare concern. Herds, groups or mother and calf or kid pairs should be monitored by the stalker to ensure that dependent young are not separated. Where deer are found in herds, stalkers should keep a close eye on the rear of herds for accumulation of juvenile deer. Mitigation would be to cull juvenile deer where it is suspected they have split from a herd, group or mother and calf pair.

Risks to deer welfare from culling should be mitigated by minimising intense activity in areas where deer may get injured e.g. in steep or rocky terrain, in proximity to fence-lines or other barriers where contact could cause injury and on public roads where deer vehicle collisions may occur.